

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,490	04/07/2006	Joachim Arndt	03P11857	1079
24252 7590 03/20/2008 OSRAM SYLVANIA INC		EXAMINER		
100 ENDICOTT STREET			PATEL, ASHOK	
DANVERS, N	1A 01923		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2889	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567 490 ARNDT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Ashok Patel 2889 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-14,16 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 pages.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/567,490
Art Unit: 2889

- Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 5: the term "kacket" appears to be mistyped.
 Appropriate correction is required.
- Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad limitation together with a narrow limitation that falls within the broad limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPO 74 (Bd. App. 1961);

Application/Control Number: 10/567,490 Art Unit: 2889

Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

In claim 1, two separate limitations associated with the term "in particular" (at three different occurrences) renders the claim indefinite since the scope of the claim can not be ascertained since one limitation is broader in scope whereas the other limitation is narrow in scope.

In order to overcome the indefiniteness, the Examiner proposes to amend the claim as follows:

at lines 1-2, "end, in particular a high," to --end, the lamp being a high,--;

at line 13: "jacket, in particular the means is a circular" to -- jacket, the fastening means being a circular--; and at line 18: delete the term "in particular".

Claim 15, the term "the socket" lacks antecedent basis. The base claim does not define or mention the socket. It is unclear as to whether or not the term "the socket" is mistyped and should be "the jacket".

Claims 2-14, 16 and 17 are necessarily rejected since they depend upon claim 1.

Art Unit: 2889

- 3. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. Claims 2-14, 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claim 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Prior art of the record does not disclose applicant's claimed electric lamp with a base at one end, the lamp being a high pressure discharge lamp, having an inner vessel with a vacuum-tight seal, said vessel being surrounded by an outer jacket, a base with electric connections supporting the inner vessel, on the one hand, and the outer jacket, on the other hand, characterized by the combination of the following features:

- a) the base has a base insulator that is made from an insulating material and has a central opening with a surrounding collar in which the inner vessel is held without cement:
- b) the base insulator includes a fastening means for the outer jacket, the means being a circular, radially projecting segment with an associated upper and lower plateau with reference to the base:
- c) the outer jacket includes an opening on the base side, there being located in the vicinity of the opening a means for fastening on the base insulator, a radially projecting edge having an upper and lower contact surface with reference to the base, the lower contact surface of the edge being compatible with the upper plateau of the circular, radially projecting segment on the base insulator; and
- d) the outer jacket is fastened on the base by means of a cementless mechanical holding mechanism with the inclusion of the means from b) and c).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 2889

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minh-Toan Ton can be reached on 571-272-2303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ashok Patel/ Ashok Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879